

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,497	08/25/2003	Patrick W. Truitt	99-03 C1	2372
30031	7590 04/07/2006		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL W. HAAS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL			PATEL, NIHIR B	
RESPIRONIC	S, INC. RIDGE LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MURRYSVIL	MURRYSVILLE, PA 15668			
			B 4 7 5 1 4 4 4 5 B 0 4 10 7 10 0 0	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/647,497	TRUITT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 47-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 47-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08.25.2003. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/647,497

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 47-63 rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,615,831. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 47 of the instant application, limitations can be found in claim 11 of patent '831 except claim 47, recites a first and second hollow member as opposed to patented claim 11 of '831 which states "first and second cylinder; in a broad sense, first and second cylinder are defined as first and second hollow member; an opening as opposed to patented claim 11 of patent '831 which states "slot"; in a broad sense, a slot is defined as an opening; a plate as opposed to patented claim 11 of patent '831 which states a member; in a broad sense a plate is defined as a member. Therefore it would have been obvious to use words like "first and second cylinder; slot and plate" instead of first

Application/Control Number: 10/647,497

Art Unit: 3743

and second hollow member, opening and member". With respect to claim 48 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 12 of patent '831. With respect to claim 49 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 13 of patent '831. With respect to claim 50 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 14 of patent '831. With respect to claim 51 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 15 of patent '831. With respect to claim 52 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 16 of patent '831. With respect to claim 53 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 17 of patent '831. With respect to claim 54 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 18 of patent '831. With respect to claim 55 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 19 of patent '831. With respect to claim 56 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 20 of patent '831. With respect to claim 57 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 21 of patent '831. With respect to claim 58 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 22 of patent '831. With respect to claim 59 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 23 of patent '831. With respect to claim 60 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 24 of patent '831. With respect to claim 61 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 25 of patent '831. With respect to claim 62 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 26 of patent '831. With respect to claim 63 of the instant application, the limitations can be found in claim 27 of patent '831.

Art Unit: 3743

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nihir Patel Art Unit 3743

Heary Bennett Supervisory Patent Examiner